Functional Measures of Prefrontal Regulation in Adolescents Experiencing Early Deprivation



Introduction

Children reared in orphanages experience deprivation as a result of inadequate physical care, and/or lack of cognitive and socio-emotional stimulation. Some domains improve following adoption; however, cognitive and emotional problems often persist. Previous studies have suggested altered structure and connectivity in this population. Few studies have addressed functional development of prefrontal regulatory systems. The current study examined behavioral and neuroimaging measures of prefrontal function in post-institutionalized (PI) youth, emphasizing the effects of duration of deprivation. Forty-eight PI youth (12-14 yrs.) and 24 non-adopted (NA) controls performed a cognitive conflict task involving motor relearning during fMRI scanning. PI youth were either early-adopted (EA; N=24) or late-adopted (LA; N=24).



Ruskin H. Hunt, Raquel A. Gabbitas Cowell, Megan R. Gunnar, Kathleen M. Thomas

response contingencies (sets contained 4 blocks of the same type). Block order was pseudo-randomized within and across runs. Participants responded using their dominant hand.

Participants

Age Adopt [SD;range] Time In Inst. [SD] Age Test [SD] Group (F/M) Non-Adopted (16/8) 13y4m [6.4m] 8.0m [2.3m; 4-12m] 7.3m [2.1m] Early-Adopted (13/11) 13y2m [7.2m] Late-Adopted (13/11) 12y10m [6.5m] 21.0m [8.4m] 24.4m [10.5m; 13-51m]

- PI youth were adopted from non-US orphanages into MN families (16 S.E. Asia; 28 Europe; 4 S. America)
- PI youth were institutionalized at 0 to 22 months of age for a minimum of 4 months and at least 50% of their pre-adoptive life (M=2.1m; SD=4.7m)
- Normal or corrected-to-normal vision
- No evidence of FAS or neurological disease



PI youth were less accurate and slower to respond than typicallydeveloping controls, suggesting the task was more effortful for PI youth. All participants had accuracy greater than 70% for Congruent trials.

Accuracy: Responses to Incongruent mappings were less accurate than responses to Congruent mappings (F(1,69)=107.1, p<.001), and accuracy was lower as a function of duration of institutionalization (F (2,69)=3.7, p<.05). Accuracy for Incongruent trials was significantly lower for Late-adopted PI youth than for Controls (Tukey, p<.05).

Reaction time: Congruent mappings produced faster reaction times than Incongruent mappings (F(1,69)=43.4, p<.001). No significant differences in reaction time were observed between groups for Congruent or Incongruent response mappings (all p>.05). Z-score normalized reaction times produced equivalent findings.

Neuroimaging Methods

Magnet: Siemens 3T Tim Trio with 12-channel phased-array head coil Structural: MPRAGE, 1.0 mm isovoxel, 50% gap Fieldmap and Functional: EPI, 34 interleaved axial slices, 3.125 x 3.125 x 3.0 mm voxels, 33% gap

Data processing (FSL)

- B0 unwarping

Motion and slice-time correction High-pass filtering and spatial smoothing (6mm FWHM) Co-registration with structural volume Transformation into 2 mm MNI space Block design with fixation as baseline Focused on group differences in Incongruent > Congruent Areas of significant activation were identified using a whole-brain voxelwise analysis at p<.005 with a minimum contiguous volume criterion of 390 mm³ (equivalent to 10 functional voxels, including the gap).

Behavioral Performance

Institute of Child Development, University of Minnesota

Task effect: Incongruent > Congruent

Non-adopted, Early-adopted, and Late-adopted youth all activated a similar brain network, suggesting that differences in behavior are not due to differential network recruitment among groups. LA youth showed the greatest area of extent, followed by EA youth, and NA controls. Activation included bilateral insula, lateral frontal pole, inferior and middle frontal gyri, paracingulate gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus, superior parietal lobule, lateral occipital cortex, and precuneus.

Group differences in task effect: Incongruent > Congruent

Summary

- Any institutionalization: Left DLPFC



- function.

Casey, B. J., Thomas, K. M., Davidson, M. C., Kunz, K., & Franzen, P. L. (2002). Dissociating striatal and hippocampal function developmentally with a stimulusresponse compatibility task. Journal of Neuroscience, 22, 8647-8652. Email: hunti011@umn.edu. Supported by NIMH grant #P50 MH79513.



Neuroimaging Results

EA youth only: Medial prefrontal cortex LA youth only: Right DLPFC and Right posterior parietal cortex

Inderlay) I prefrontal	 Left DLPFC activation extends rostrally and dorsally Bilateral frontal pole
late cortex arietal cortex	 LA > EA (Pink overlay) Partially co-active with LA > Ctrl in
	 right DLPFC Co-active with PI > Ctrl and LA >
)	Ctrl in right posterior parietal cortex
Ctrl, except in	EA > LA (Blue overlay)
\	Anterior and interior frontal pole
) Ctrl in L DLPFC	 Left middle temporal gyrus Left hippocampus

early deprivation is associated with long-term alterations in the development of prefrontal circuitry, and that developmental outcomes vary with individual differences in early experience.

PI children showed increased activity in DLPFC compared to nonadopted controls, suggesting that factors associated with even brief periods of early deprivation may have long-term impacts on prefrontal

Prefrontal cortex and other regions showed additional effects of duration of deprivation. Recruitment of additional regions in EA youth may reflect compensatory activity, given this group's normative task performance. The function of additional activity in LA youth is unclear. Future work will investigate whether activity in these regions predicts behavioral performance for the different groups.