As schools across the state return to what they hope will be a more “normal” year, there are many things that understandably will vie for attention: COVID-19 safety protocols, perceived learning loss, mental health, social and emotional learning, and racial equity, to name just a few. While we know each school and district has its own context, we draw upon the results of the two statewide MN Safe Learning Surveys to advocate that a focus on relationships, specifically educator and student relationships, is the route to productively addressing many competing priorities. We realize this is easier said than done.

Defining Relationships

While we believe educators value relationships, and strive to develop them with their students, we recognize that relationship-building itself is rarely the focus of professional development in back-to-school sessions or PLC meetings. While educators understandably spend time on things like data analysis, grading practices, literacy instruction, and a whole host of other really important topics, we would invite you to ask yourself, “when was the last time our district explicitly focused on relationships either in professional development or in data gathering and analysis?” In a discussion about “relationships,” we feel a conceptual framework is helpful. We offer the Developmental Relationships Framework from Search Institute (https://searchinstitute.org), a nationally recognized nonprofit research to practice organization located in Minneapolis. Drawing on both the vast literature surrounding relationships and their own research, Search Institute defines a Developmental Relationship in five elements: (1) expressing care, (2) challenging growth, (3) providing support, (4) sharing power, and (5) expanding possibilities. Supporting these five elements are 20 actions educators can draw upon as they work to build these developmental relationships with young people.

1 MN Statewide Learning Surveys https://www.cehd.umn.edu/research/safe-learning-survey/
challenges. When asked in the spring survey what they wanted to see moving forward, educator comments focused on infrastructure and workload, families cited desires to return to full-time in-person learning, and students were concerned about grades, assignments, and workload. All of this quantitative and qualitative data supports the need to be focused on mental health. Yet, we know classroom teachers cannot do this alone. Experts are needed. Financial investments in support systems that link school-based mental health experts with those in our communities to support students and families could provide needed space for classroom teachers to focus on the other remaining concerns.

Engagement

Perhaps the most difficult finding from the SLS to wrap our heads around is that surrounding student engagement, as respondent groups were fairly divided in their reported experiences. Educators reported “engaging students in learning” as their No. 1 success and their No. 3 challenge. Similarly, families reported “receiving support from teachers” as their No. 1 success and their No. 2 challenge. Students reported “keeping up with schoolwork” and “understanding schoolwork” as their No. 1 and No. 2 challenges, respectively. This likely contributed to the perceived difficulty of engaging students that educators reported. As most return to school this fall, there may be a desire to fix “learning loss.” (See “How to Redirect the Tempting Conversation of Learning Loss” on Pages 24-27 in the July-August 2021 edition of the MSBA Journal magazine at http://www.mnmsba.org/Portals/0/JournalMayJune2021.pdf). However, as the often-cited saying goes, “Students don’t care how much you know until they know how much you care.” And, as we will see in the next section, being behind academically is a real concern, yet engaging students in learning cannot be demanded, and it will not come without an intentional focus on building authentic relationships with young people.
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“being behind academically” and families reported it as their No. 2 after social interactions and relationships, brings us back to how we approach the potential need to “catch kids up.” If we do so absent relational engagement and instead communicate to students and families that they are behind, there is a likelihood that we could only be exacerbating the already established concerns for mental health. Instead, we encourage educators to draw upon the potential actions in Search Institute’s Developmental Relationships framework. When communicating with students, sending messages like, “I believe in you,” “I expect you will live up to your potential,” and “I will help guide you through the hard situations and systems as we navigate getting there” along with, “I will respect you by taking you seriously and treating you fairly as we work to solve problems and reach goals” may go a long way in securing engagement and addressing academic concerns.

The Equity Connection
We know a simple focus on relationships without a genuine acknowledgement of the fact that our schools are fundamentally producing inequitable outcomes along lines of race would be irresponsible as two white women authoring this article. As our team at the Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement have begun initial analyses of the SLS results by race, we found some important differences in the areas of learning, connectedness and perceptions of racism and racial microaggressions. Families of students of color, American Indian students, and multiracial students felt less connected to teachers and support staff while their students felt less connected to their classmates than their white peers. These students, along with their families, also reported they in fact felt they were learning more this school year than pre-COVID, a stark difference to their white counterparts. We hypothesize this ties to the finding, that students of color, American Indian students, and multiracial students and their families were more concerned about racism and racial microaggressions when asked about their top concerns around schooling moving forward. A focus on relationship-building without an understanding of the inherent historical nature that race and racism has played in schooling would be naïve. As we approach relationship-building with students from minoritized groups and their families, we need to do so while also being critically self-reflective. Given that in Minnesota only approximately 5% of teachers are teachers of color, of American Indian teachers, much of that critical self-reflection around potential biases and hidden biases must be taken up by white educators. This does not mean white educators do not or cannot build relationships with BIPOC students, in fact we know the opposite can be true. However, in order to build authentic developmental relationships, educators must seek to know and understand the students and communities they serve by examining their own epistemologies and share power.

Resources
The following links may be helpful to schools, districts, and stakeholders as they consider the topics in this article:

Relationships
- The Power of Relationships in Schools (Video) – https://www.edutopia.org/video/power-relationships-schools
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3 2021 Biennial Report Supply and Demand of Teachers in Minnesota https://mn.gov/pelsb/assets/Supply%20and%20Demand%202021_Final_tcm1113-463801.pdf
Mental Health


Engagement

- Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) Resources – https://casel.org/resources

Being Behind Academically


- SEL & MTSS Toolkit for State and District Leaders – https://753a0706.flowpaper.com/CCSSOSELMTSSToolkit/#page=1

Equity

- The Importance of Addressing Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion in Schools – https://bit.ly/3rPd1Pq
- Great Lakes Equity Center Resources – https://greatlakesequity.org
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